

# A Conjecture on the Permanent of Positive Semidefinite Matrices

Yair Lavi

26 September 2026

## Abstract

We state the following conjecture of the author and prove it for  $n \leq 5$ . Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be a complex positive semidefinite  $n \times n$  matrix with  $n \geq 1$ , and let  $\chi_\lambda$  be an irreducible character of  $S_n$  of degree  $d_\lambda$ . For  $\sigma \in S_n$ , put  $a_\sigma = \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i, \sigma(i)}$ . The conjecture asserts that

$$\sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_n} \chi_\lambda(\sigma\tau) a_\sigma a_\tau \leq d_\lambda (\text{per } A)^2.$$

We show that Soules' permanent-on-top conjecture implies this inequality, and that it in turn implies both Lieb's immanant dominance and Chollet's permanent inequality. We also settle the previously unresolved order-four case of Soules' conjecture.

**Keywords.** Permanent; positive semidefinite matrix; Schur power matrix; immanant; Chollet conjecture.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 15A15, 15B48, 20C30.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $S_n$  be the permutations of  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . For a complex  $n \times n$  matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ , put

$$a_\sigma(A) = \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i, \sigma(i)}, \quad \text{per } A = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_\sigma(A). \quad (1.1)$$

Throughout the paper, positive semidefinite means Hermitian positive semidefinite, written  $A \succeq 0$ . For Hermitian matrices of the same order,  $X \preceq Y$  means  $Y - X \succeq 0$ . We write  $\lambda \vdash n$  when  $\lambda$  is a partition of  $n$ ; it labels an irreducible character  $\chi_\lambda$  of  $S_n$  with degree  $d_\lambda = \chi_\lambda(e)$ . Our conjecture is the following quadratic inequality.

**Conjecture 1.1** (quadratic permanental dominance). *For every  $n \geq 1$ , every  $A \succeq 0$  of order  $n$ , and every  $\lambda \vdash n$ ,*

$$\sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_n} \chi_\lambda(\sigma\tau) a_\sigma(A) a_\tau(A) \leq d_\lambda (\text{per } A)^2. \quad (1.2)$$

The sum on the left is real and nonnegative, as Section 2 explains.

Soules proposed a stronger spectral conjecture in his 1966 dissertation [10]; his 1994 paper [3] developed the approach. The **Schur power matrix** of  $A$  is the  $n! \times n!$  matrix, indexed by  $S_n$ , with entries

$$\Pi(A)_{\sigma, \tau} = \prod_{i=1}^n a_{\sigma(i), \tau(i)}. \quad (1.3)$$

For  $A \succeq 0$ , this matrix is positive semidefinite and has  $\text{per } A$  as an eigenvalue. The **permanent-on-top conjecture** is that this is its largest eigenvalue. Bapat and Sunder [2] proved it for  $n \leq 3$ . Shchesnovich [4] gave a complex order-five counterexample. Its direct sum with  $I_{n-5}$  for  $n > 5$  preserves the permanent and embeds the order-five Schur power matrix as a principal submatrix. Thus the conjecture fails for every  $n \geq 5$ . The order-four case remained open [8]. We settle it in Theorem 3.1.

Lieb's **permanental-dominance conjecture** [1] concerns the immanant

$$\text{Imm}_\lambda(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \chi_\lambda(\sigma) a_\sigma(A). \quad (1.4)$$

It asserts  $\text{Imm}_\lambda(A) \leq d_\lambda \text{per } A$  for every  $A \succeq 0$  and  $\lambda \vdash n$ .

**Chollet's conjecture** [5] concerns the entrywise product  $(A \circ B)_{ij} = a_{ij}b_{ij}$ : for two positive semidefinite matrices of the same order, it asserts

$$\text{per}(A \circ B) \leq \text{per } A \text{ per } B. \quad (1.5)$$

Pate established Lieb's conjecture for all irreducible  $S_n$  immanants through order 13 [11], and a recent preprint of Li claims the same through order 15 [15]. For Chollet's conjecture, Hutchinson proved the real order-four case [12], Rodtes the complex case [13], and a recent unrefereed preprint of Li, Zhao, and Luo claims the inequality through order six [14].

Our main result is Theorem 4.1: inequality (1.2) holds for every complex positive semidefinite matrix through order five and every partition of its order. For  $n \leq 4$ , this follows from our new order-four Soules theorem, the known cases through order three, and the implication theorem in the following section. Theorem 4.1 also yields alternative proofs of the order-five cases of Lieb's and Chollet's inequalities. Theorem 3.1 gives another proof of Chollet's complex order-four case.

The order-four proof is computer assisted: its finite polynomial identities and positivity certificates are checked with exact arithmetic. At order five, two character blocks have short analytic proofs; three further blocks use exact rational sums of squares. Section 5 identifies the proof data and replay programs.

## 2 The implication theorem

**Theorem 2.1** (implications, at each fixed order). *For all complex positive semidefinite matrices of a fixed order  $n$ ,*

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Soules' permanent-on-top} \\ \text{conjecture} \end{array} \implies \text{Conjecture 1.1} \implies \begin{cases} \text{Lieb's immanant inequality,} \\ \text{Chollet's inequality.} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

To prove the theorem, choose a unitary irreducible representation  $\rho_\lambda$  with character  $\chi_\lambda$  and dimension  $d_\lambda$ , and define its Fourier block by

$$F_\lambda(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_\sigma(A) \rho_\lambda(\sigma). \quad (2.2)$$

The choice of equivalent representation does not affect the eigenvalues. The trivial block is the scalar  $\text{per } A$ ; the sign block is  $\det A$ . We record the positivity and normalization used throughout.

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $A \succeq 0$ , then every  $F_\lambda(A)$  is Hermitian positive semidefinite. Moreover,*

$$\text{tr } F_\lambda(A)^2 = \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_n} \chi_\lambda(\sigma\tau) a_\sigma(A) a_\tau(A), \quad (2.3)$$

*and the spectrum of  $\Pi(A)$  consists of the spectrum of each  $F_\lambda(A)$  repeated  $d_\lambda$  times.*

*Proof.* Write  $A$  as the Gram matrix of vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ . Equation (1.3) is the Gram matrix of the tensors  $v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma(n)}$ . Reindexing the product in (1.3) gives  $\Pi(A)_{\sigma,\tau} = a_{\tau\sigma^{-1}}(A)$ . Choose real orthogonal models for the irreducible representations of  $S_n$ . The left-regular decomposition gives the unitary similarity

$$\Pi(A) \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} F_\lambda(A^T) \otimes I_{d_\lambda}, \quad F_\lambda(A^T) = \overline{F_\lambda(A)}.$$

The second identity uses that  $A$  is Hermitian and the representation matrices are real. Also  $a_{\sigma^{-1}}(A) = \overline{a_\sigma(A)}$ , so  $F_\lambda(A)$  is Hermitian. It has the same spectrum as its complex conjugate, a positive semidefinite block of  $\Pi(A)$ , and is therefore positive semidefinite. Expanding the square in (2.2) and taking its trace proves (2.3).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.1. Soules' permanent-on-top conjecture  $\implies$  Conjecture 1.1.* Under Soules' conjecture, Lemma 2.2 puts every eigenvalue of  $F_\lambda(A)$  in  $[0, \text{per } A]$ . Squaring and summing gives  $\text{tr } F_\lambda(A)^2 \leq d_\lambda(\text{per } A)^2$ , which is (1.2).

**Conjecture 1.1  $\implies$  Lieb's immanant inequality.** Assuming (1.2), Cauchy–Schwarz on the eigenvalues gives

$$\text{Imm}_\lambda(A) = \text{tr } F_\lambda(A) \leq \sqrt{d_\lambda \text{tr } F_\lambda(A)^2} \leq d_\lambda \text{per } A. \quad (2.4)$$

**Conjecture 1.1  $\implies$  Chollet's inequality.** Assuming (1.2), Fourier orthogonality gives the exact identity

$$n! \text{per}(A \circ \overline{A}) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} d_\lambda \text{tr } F_\lambda(A)^2. \quad (2.5)$$

Since  $\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} d_\lambda^2 = n!$ , inequality (1.2) bounds the right side by  $n!(\text{per } A)^2$ . Thus  $\text{per}(A \circ \overline{A}) \leq (\text{per } A)^2$ . For another positive semidefinite  $B$ , Cauchy–Schwarz on the vectors  $(a_\sigma(A))_\sigma$  and  $(a_\sigma(B))_\sigma$  yields

$$\text{per}(A \circ B) \leq |\text{per}(A \circ B)| \leq \sqrt{\text{per}(A \circ \overline{A}) \text{per}(B \circ \overline{B})} \leq \text{per } A \text{ per } B. \quad (2.6)$$

This proves Chollet's inequality and completes the theorem.  $\square$

### 3 Soules' conjecture in order four

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $A \succeq 0$  is a complex  $4 \times 4$  matrix, then  $\Pi(A) \preceq (\text{per } A)I_{24}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof reduces to five finite, exact characteristic certificates. We give the reduction and the certificate checks explicitly enough to identify every mathematical obligation; the full integer data and verifier are listed in Section 5.

For  $\lambda \vdash 4$  put  $\Delta_\lambda = (\text{per } A)I_{d_\lambda} - F_\lambda(A)$ . The trivial block has  $\Delta = 0$ . For the sign block, the classical permanent diagonal-product inequality [6] and Hadamard's determinant inequality give  $0 \leq \det A \leq \prod_i a_{ii} \leq \text{per } A$ . For the other three blocks we use the elementary-symmetric functions  $e_k(\Delta)$  of their eigenvalues. The known order-four cases of Lieb's normalized immanant inequality [7, 11] give

$$e_1(\Delta_\lambda) = d_\lambda \text{per } A - \text{Imm}_\lambda(A) \geq 0. \quad (3.1)$$

For a Hermitian  $2 \times 2$  matrix,  $e_1, e_2 \geq 0$  imply positive semidefiniteness; for a Hermitian  $3 \times 3$  matrix, the same is true of  $e_1, e_2, e_3 \geq 0$ . Indeed, if an eigenvalue were negative, the polynomial  $\det(tI + \Delta) = t^d + e_1 t^{d-1} + \dots + e_d$  would vanish at some  $t > 0$ , which its nonnegative coefficients forbid. The remaining checks are therefore these five signs:

| $\lambda$ | $d_\lambda$ | Characteristic signs     | Exact certificate                                    |
|-----------|-------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| (2, 2)    | 2           | $e_2 \geq 0$             | rational matrix sum of squares                       |
| (3, 1)    | 3           | $e_2 \geq 0, e_3 \geq 0$ | rational matrix sum of squares; ten principal minors |
| (2, 1, 1) | 3           | $e_2 \geq 0, e_3 \geq 0$ | six parity minors; ten principal minors              |

We next describe the domain on which the certificates are applied. Positive diagonal congruence scales every Fourier block and per  $A$  by the same positive factor, so we may work with correlation matrices. If a correlation matrix  $B$  of order four is singular, then  $\text{rank } B \leq 3$  and  $\text{tr } B^2 \geq (\text{tr } B)^2/3 = 16/3$ . Consequently  $\sum_{i < j} |b_{ij}|^2 \geq 2/3$ , and some pair has  $|b_{ij}| \geq 1/3$ . Relabel and apply a diagonal unitary congruence so that this pair is  $(1, 2)$  with inner product  $\rho \geq 1/3$ . Generic rank-three frames admit, after unitary changes and positive rescaling, the triangular chart

$$v_1 = (1, 0, 0), \quad v_2 = (a, 1, 0), \quad v_3 = (b, c + id, 1), \quad v_4 = x \in \mathbb{C}^3, \quad a^2 = \frac{\rho^2}{1 - \rho^2} \geq \frac{1}{8}, \quad (3.2)$$

with  $a, b, c, d$  real. For the  $(3, 1)$  determinant certificate it is more convenient to use the equivalent symmetric-pair chart

$$v_1 = (u, 1, 0), \quad v_2 = (u, -1, 0), \quad v_3 = (b, c + id, 1), \quad v_4 = x, \quad u^2 = \frac{1 + \rho}{1 - \rho} \geq 2. \quad (3.3)$$

In either chart, a phase change of  $v_3$ , compensated by a unitary change of the third Gram coordinate, makes  $b$  real. Positive scaling of  $x$  is harmless for the homogeneous sign checks, so  $x$  needs no normalization.

The sign checks in the table are exact assertions on the respective chart domains. To state how they are certified, let  $z_k(x)$  list the  $\binom{k+2}{2}$  holomorphic monomials of degree  $k$  in the three coordinates of  $x$ , and let  $\mathbf{t}$  denote the real variables of the chosen chart. Each  $a_\sigma(B)$  has bidegree  $(1, 1)$  in  $x, \bar{x}$ ; the real-valued characteristic expression  $e_k(\Delta_\lambda(x))$  is therefore a Hermitian form of bidegree  $(k, k)$ . It has a unique Hermitian coefficient matrix in the  $z_k$  basis. For each entry in the table, the verifier constructs this matrix  $C_{\lambda,k}$  and checks the complete coefficient identity

$$e_k(\Delta_\lambda(x)) = z_k(x)^* C_{\lambda,k}(\mathbf{t}) z_k(x). \quad (3.4)$$

The condition  $C_{\lambda,k} \succeq 0$  is sufficient for the required nonnegativity.

The two rational matrix sums of squares prove their  $6 \times 6$  matrices positive semidefinite after multiplication by  $1 + a^2 > 0$ . The  $(2, 1, 1)$  quadratic matrix has six exact nonnegative principal minors. For each determinant matrix, of size  $10 \times 10$ , all ten leading principal minors have exact sign certificates: in the triangular chart the last sign uses  $a^2 \geq 1/8$ , and in the symmetric chart it uses  $u^2 \geq 2$ . The final near-column minor is an exact polynomial of the form  $R(a^2, b^2, c^2, d^2) + abcQ(a^2, b^2, c^2, d^2)$ ; its certificate proves  $R \geq 0$  and  $R^2 - a^2 b^2 c^2 Q^2 \geq 0$  on the stated domain. The final standard-hook minor is split exactly into 24 homogeneous layers in  $b^2, c^2, d^2$ , with polynomial factorization and rational chart certificates covering the nonnegative orthant. All factorizations, coefficient comparisons, rational inequalities, and domain covers are replayed in integer arithmetic. Each certified leading-minor polynomial is nonzero and nonnegative on the chart domain, hence positive on a dense open subset. The finite intersection of these subsets is dense and open, so Sylvester's criterion applies there; continuity handles the chart boundaries and nongeneric frames.

It remains to pass from singular to arbitrary correlation matrices. If  $A \neq I$  is positive definite, let  $m = \lambda_{\min}(A)$ ,  $t = 1 - m$ , and  $B = (A - mI)/t$ . Then  $0 < t < 1$ ,  $B$  is a singular correlation

matrix, and  $A = (1 - t)I + tB$ . Expansion of the diagonal choices gives

$$\Delta_\lambda(A) = \sum_{S \subseteq [4]} t^{|S|} (1 - t)^{4 - |S|} \left[ \text{per}(B[S])I - \sum_{\sigma \in S_S} a_\sigma(B[S])\rho_\lambda(\sigma) \right], \quad (3.5)$$

where  $S_S$  fixes the complement of  $S$  and  $\text{per}(B[\emptyset]) = 1$ . The  $S = [4]$  summand is positive semidefinite by the singular certificate. For a proper  $S$ , restrict  $\rho_\lambda$  to  $S_S$ ; each summand is a direct sum of blocks of order at most three, where Bapat and Sunder's theorem [2] applies. Every term in (3.5) is therefore positive semidefinite. The identity matrix is immediate. Positive diagonal congruence covers every positive semidefinite matrix with positive diagonal; continuity covers zero diagonal entries. Lemma 2.2 now gives  $\Pi(A) \preceq (\text{per } A)I_{24}$ , proving Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

## 4 Proof of Conjecture 1.1 through order five

**Theorem 4.1.** *Inequality (1.2) holds for every  $n \leq 5$ , every complex positive semidefinite  $n \times n$  matrix, and every  $\lambda \vdash n$ .*

*Proof.* For  $n \leq 4$  this follows at once from Bapat and Sunder's theorem [2], Theorem 3.1, and the first implication in Theorem 2.1. We therefore treat  $n = 5$ . Positive diagonal congruence reduces matrices with positive diagonal to correlation matrices, and continuity covers zero diagonal entries. The trivial block is equality, while for the sign block  $F_{(1^5)}(A) = \det A \leq \text{per } A$ . Five nontrivial blocks remain.

We first handle  $(4, 1)$ . For a positive semidefinite  $4 \times 4$  correlation matrix  $B$ , let  $\text{padj}(B)_{ij} = \text{per } B(i|j)$ , where  $B(i|j)$  deletes row  $i$  and column  $j$ . The permanental adjugate is positive semidefinite: it is the Gram matrix of the symmetrized tensors formed by deleting one Gram vector. We claim

$$\lambda_{\max}(\text{padj } B) \leq 2 \text{per } B. \quad (4.1)$$

Indeed, isolate row and column 4 and write  $B = \begin{pmatrix} C & c \\ c^* & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $R = \text{padj}(C)^T$ , and  $q = c^* R c$ . Then  $R \succeq 0$ ,  $\text{per } B = \text{per } C + q$ , and expansion of the permanental cofactors along the isolated row shows that its absolute row sum is  $\text{per } C + \|Rc\|_1$ . A phase choice puts the three off-diagonal moduli of  $C$  in  $a, b, t \in [0, 1]$  and its remaining phase at  $\theta$ ; with  $u = a^2 + b^2 + t^2$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per } C &= 1 + u + 2abt \cos \theta \geq \max(1, 2u), \\ \omega^* R \omega &\leq 3 + 2\sqrt{3}u + 3u \leq 8 \text{per } C \quad (|\omega_j| = 1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Indeed  $\text{per } C = \det C + 2u \geq 2u$ , while  $2abt \leq a^2 + b^2$  gives  $\text{per } C \geq 1$ . If  $u \leq 1/2$ , the middle expression in (4.2) is at most  $9/2 + \sqrt{6} < 8$ ; if  $u \geq 1/2$ , its difference from  $16u$  is  $13u - 2\sqrt{3}u - 3 \geq 7/2 - \sqrt{6} > 0$ . This proves the last bound in (4.2). Duality of  $\ell^1$  and  $\ell^\infty$ , followed by Cauchy-Schwarz in the  $R$ -seminorm, yields  $\|Rc\|_1 \leq \sqrt{8(\text{per } C)q} \leq \text{per } C + 2q$ . Thus every absolute row sum of  $\text{padj } B$  is at most  $2 \text{per } B$ , proving (4.1).

Now let  $A$  be a  $5 \times 5$  correlation matrix and set  $\Gamma_{ij} = a_{ij} \text{per } A(i|j)$ . The natural permutation representation is the sum of the trivial and  $(4, 1)$  representations; its Fourier matrix is  $\Gamma$  up to transpose. Hence

$$\|\Gamma\|_F^2 = (\text{per } A)^2 + \text{tr } F_{(4,1)}(A)^2. \quad (4.3)$$

For any chosen row, write  $A = \begin{pmatrix} B & c \\ c^* & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  after relabeling, with  $R = \text{padj}(B)^T$  and  $q = c^* R c$ , so  $\text{per } A = \text{per } B + q$ . Because  $|c_j| \leq 1$ , (4.1) gives

$$\sum_{j \neq 5} |\Gamma_{5j}|^2 \leq c^* R^2 c \leq 2(\text{per } B)q, \quad |\Gamma_{55}|^2 = (\text{per } B)^2. \quad (4.4)$$

Each of the five rows has squared norm at most  $(\text{per } B + q)^2 = (\text{per } A)^2$ . Equations (4.3) and (4.4) give  $\text{tr } F_{(4,1)}(A)^2 \leq 4(\text{per } A)^2$ .

For  $(2, 1, 1, 1)$ , consider first a singular  $5 \times 5$  correlation matrix  $B$ . The sign-twisted natural representation has Fourier matrix  $K_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} b_{ij} \det B(i|j)$ , up to transpose, and decomposes as the sign representation plus  $(2, 1, 1, 1)$ . If  $\text{rank } B \leq 3$ , all fourth-order cofactors vanish and the desired block is zero. If  $\text{rank } B = 4$ , then  $\det B = 0$  and

$$\text{tr } F_{(2,1,1,1)}(B) = \text{tr } K = e_4(\text{spec } B) \leq (5/4)^4. \quad (4.5)$$

The last inequality is AM–GM on the four positive eigenvalues, whose sum is five. Grone and Pierce’s correlation inequality [9] gives  $\text{per } B \geq \|B\|_F^2/5 \geq 5/4$  on this singular boundary. For a positive semidefinite  $4 \times 4$  block, its Frobenius norm is at most its trace, so (4.5) implies

$$\frac{\sqrt{\text{tr } F_{(2,1,1,1)}(B)^2/4}}{\text{per } B} \leq \frac{(5/4)^4}{2(5/4)} = \frac{125}{128} < 1. \quad (4.6)$$

For a nonsingular correlation matrix use the order-five analogue of (3.5):  $A = (1 - t)I + tB$ , with weights  $t^{|S|}(1 - t)^{5-|S|}$  over  $S \subseteq [5]$ . In every proper-subset term, Young restriction yields blocks of order at most four. Bapat and Sunder [2] bound those of order at most three in operator norm by their permanents, and Theorem 3.1 bounds those of order four.

Consequently the full  $4 \times 4$  restricted Fourier matrix  $X_S = \sum_{\sigma \in S_5} a_\sigma(B[S]) \rho_{(2,1,1,1)}(\sigma)$  satisfies  $\|X_S\|_F \leq 2 \text{per}(B[S])$ . The full-set term obeys (4.6), and the same weighted subset expansion holds for  $\text{per } A$ . The triangle inequality for normalized Frobenius norm now gives  $\sqrt{\text{tr } F_{(2,1,1,1)}(A)^2/4} \leq \text{per } A$ . The singular correlation case was treated above, so this covers all  $A$ .

The remaining three partitions are  $(3, 2)$ ,  $(3, 1, 1)$ , and  $(2, 2, 1)$ , of dimensions 5, 6, 5. For each of them put

$$R_\lambda(A) = d_\lambda(\text{per } A)^2 - \text{tr } F_\lambda(A)^2 = \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_5} (d_\lambda - \chi_\lambda(\sigma\tau)) a_\sigma(A) a_\tau(A). \quad (4.7)$$

The exact certificates supplied with this paper have the following form:

$$R_\lambda(A) = \frac{1}{D_\lambda} \sum_{b=1}^{38} \mathcal{R} \left( \prod_{S \in \mathcal{B}_b} \det A[S] z_b(A)^* Q_b z_b(A) \right). \quad (4.8)$$

Here  $D_\lambda$  is a positive integer,  $\mathcal{B}_b$  is an explicit list of principal index sets,  $z_b$  is an explicit vector of monomials in Gram entries,  $Q_b$  is a symmetric integer matrix, and  $\mathcal{R}$  averages simultaneous relabeling by  $S_5$  and entrywise conjugation. Every determinant in (4.8) is nonnegative on  $A \succeq 0$ . Every  $Q_b$  is positive definite: the certificate gives an integer congruence whose image has positive diagonal and is strictly diagonally dominant, hence positive definite. This forces the congruence matrix to be invertible and therefore  $Q_b \succ 0$ . Its verifier checks these integer margins and the complete coefficient identity (4.8). There are 6,210 balanced degree-ten monomials, grouped into 82 relabeling/conjugation orbits; explicit averaging makes equality of the 82 exact orbit coefficients equality of all 6,210 coefficients. The exact denominators are

| $\lambda$   | $D_\lambda$       | Positive matrices |
|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| $(3, 2)$    | 28022233339265024 | 38                |
| $(3, 1, 1)$ | 28022233339265024 | 38                |
| $(2, 2, 1)$ | 14011116669632512 | 38                |

Thus (4.8) proves  $R_\lambda(A) \geq 0$  for all three remaining blocks, including singular matrices. All seven partitions of five have now been covered, and Theorem 4.1 follows.  $\square$

## 5 Supplementary material

The [supplement guide](#)<sup>1</sup> identifies the exact certificates, full order-four input tree, replay programs, completed receipt, and SHA-256 digests. All paths below are relative to the supplement root. For order four, `code/audit_pstar_program_soules.py` reconstructs the five characteristic matrices from the Gram charts, checks the character identities on complete degree-controlled grids, verifies both rational matrix sums of squares and all characteristic minors by exact polynomial division, then checks every final sign certificate. Its six-component completion receipt is `reports/soules/summary.json`. The order-five function `code/certify_n5_p2_minor_sos.py:verify` checks (4.8) independently for each of the three supplied gzip JSON certificates. Numerical optimization was used to find candidates, but no floating-point acceptance step enters either proof.

## 6 Acknowledgments

The author formulated Conjecture 1.1 and proved the implications in Theorem 2.1 in 2021, communicating these results privately. The remaining proofs in this paper were carried out by GPT-5.6-sol, GPT-6 Astra, and Claude Fable 5, under the guidance of the author. The author has reviewed the resulting proof arguments. Responsibility for the final text rests with the author.

## References

- [1] E. H. Lieb, “Proofs of Some Conjectures on Permanents,” *Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics* **16** (1966), 127–134. [doi:10.1512/iumj.1967.16.16008](https://doi.org/10.1512/iumj.1967.16.16008).
- [2] R. B. Bapat and V. S. Sunder, “An Extremal Property of the Permanent and the Determinant,” *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **76** (1986), 153–163. [doi:10.1016/0024-3795\(86\)90220-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795(86)90220-X).
- [3] G. W. Soules, “An Approach to the Permanent-Dominance Conjecture,” *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **201** (1994), 211–229. [doi:10.1016/0024-3795\(94\)90117-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795(94)90117-1).
- [4] V. S. Shchesnovich, “The Permanent-on-Top Conjecture Is False,” *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **490** (2016), 196–201. [doi:10.1016/j.laa.2015.10.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2015.10.034).
- [5] J. Chollet, “Is There a Permanent Analogue to Oppenheim’s Inequality?,” *American Mathematical Monthly* **89** (1982), 57–58. [doi:10.1080/00029890.1982.11995380](https://doi.org/10.1080/00029890.1982.11995380).
- [6] M. Marcus, “The Permanent Analogue of the Hadamard Determinant Theorem,” *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* **69** (1963), 494–496. [doi:10.1090/S0002-9904-1963-10975-1](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1963-10975-1).
- [7] T. H. Pate, “Immanant Inequalities and Partition Node Diagrams,” *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* (2) **46** (1992), 65–80. [doi:10.1112/jlms/s2-46.1.65](https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s2-46.1.65).
- [8] I. M. Wanless, “Lieb’s Permanent Dominance Conjecture,” in *The Physics and Mathematics of Elliott Lieb*, vol. 2, R. L. Frank, A. Laptev, M. Lewin, and R. Seiringer, eds., EMS Press, Berlin, 2022, 501–516. [doi:10.4171/90-2/48](https://doi.org/10.4171/90-2/48).
- [9] R. Grone and S. Pierce, “Permanent Inequalities for Correlation Matrices,” *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications* **9** (1988), 194–201. [doi:10.1137/0609016](https://doi.org/10.1137/0609016).
- [10] G. W. Soules, *Matrix Functions and the Laplace Expansion Theorem*, Ph.D. dissertation, University of California, Santa Barbara, 1966.

---

<sup>1</sup><https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22976216>

- [11] T. H. Pate, “Row Appending Maps,  $\Psi$ -Functions, and Immanant Inequalities for Hermitian Positive Semi-Definite Matrices,” *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* **(3) 76** (1998), 307–358. [doi:10.1112/S0024611598000100](https://doi.org/10.1112/S0024611598000100).
- [12] G. Hutchinson, “An Elementary Proof of Chollet’s Permanent Conjecture for  $4 \times 4$  Real Matrices,” *Special Matrices* **9** (2021), 83–102. [doi:10.1515/spma-2020-0126](https://doi.org/10.1515/spma-2020-0126).
- [13] K. Rodtes, “Chollet’s Permanent Conjecture for  $4 \times 4$  Matrices,” *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **72** (2024), no. 16, 2633–2638. [doi:10.1080/03081087.2023.2279150](https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2023.2279150).
- [14] Q. Li, Q. Zhao, and W. Luo, “Chollet’s Permanent Conjecture Through Order Six via Border Induction,” *Preprints.org* 202608.2196/v1 (31 August 2026), unrefereed preprint. [doi:10.20944/preprints202608.2196.v1](https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202608.2196.v1).
- [15] Y. Li, “Lieb’s Permanent Dominance Conjecture for Ordinary Immanants through Order Fifteen,” arXiv:2609.13412 (2026), preprint. [arXiv:2609.13412](https://arxiv.org/abs/2609.13412).